# **REAL-TIME SEISMOLOGY AND EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE MITIGATION**

## Hiroo Kanamori

Seismological Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; e-mail: hiroo@gps.caltech.edu

# ABSTRACT

Real-time seismology refers to a practice in which seismic data are collected and analyzed quickly after a significant seismic event, so that the results can be effectively used for post-earthquake emergency response and early warning. As the technology of seismic instrumentation, telemetry, computers, and data storage facility has advanced, the real-time seismology for rapid post-earthquake notification is essentially established. Research for early warning is still underway. Two approaches are possible: (1) Regional warning, and (2) On-site (or Site-specific) warning. In (1), the traditional seismological method is used to locate an earthquake, determine the magnitude, and estimate the ground motion at other sites. In (2), the beginning of the ground motion (mainly P wave) observed at a site is used to predict the ensuing ground motion at the same site. An effective approach to on-site warning is discussed in light of earthquake rupture physics.

# INTRODUCTION

Seismology provides us with key information on the structure of the Earth as well as the physics of earthquakes and other geophysical processes. At the same time it has an important role in reducing the impact of earthquakes on our society. Accurate predictions of earthquakes would be obviously effective for reducing the damage caused by earthquakes. Unfortunately, the nucleation and rupture processes of earthquakes are governed by many factors which interact with each other in a complex fashion. Because of this complex interaction, it is difficult to make accurate predictions of earthquakes. Another practical way to use seismology for effective damage mitigation is real-time seismology. Real-time seismology normally refers to a practice in which seismic data are collected and analyzed quickly after a significant seismic event so that the results can be effectively used for post-earthquake emergency response and, under favorable circumstances, early warning. Also, gaining scientific information quickly has its own merit for better understanding the process through strategically deployed instrumentation and planned field works.

The time scale involved in real-time seismology is, in most cases, minutes to hours. In these cases, by the time information is released, the earthquake itself is over and the information is used mainly for post-earthquake emergency response, planning field works, deploying instruments, and public information services. If the information can be gained in a matter of seconds to minutes, it can be used for early warning purposes in which information on the severity of seismic shaking reaches the users before shaking begins at the user site. The technology for this is far more difficult than that for the post earthquake information system, and active research is now underway.

Recent reviews on real-time seismology and earthquake early warning systems are found in Kanamori et al., (1997) and Lee and Espinoza-Aranda (2002), respectively. Also, a book (in Japanese) by Kikuchi (2003) covers a broad aspect of real-time seismology. Here we first briefly review the history and the present status, and then focus on the scientific basis of earthquake early warning.

# HISTORY

## Rapid Notification of Earthquake Information

In the late 1960's to 1970's the U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) in Menlo Park developed a telemetered earthquake monitoring system in central California which enabled rapid location and magnitude determination of regional earthquakes (Stewart et al., 1971; Lee and Stewart, 1981). At about the same time, USGS and the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) jointly operated a telemetered seismic network in southern California. Also, numerous real-time monitoring systems were developed and implemented worldwide. The basic technology for telemetering and rapid (i.e., near realtime) processing of seismic data had been fully developed by the end of the1980's.

Taking advantage of these developments, rapid earthquake notification systems were developed with special emphasis on involving the users of such information. A system called the Caltech/USGS Broadcast of Earthquakes (CUBE) (Kanamori et al., 1991) developed in southern California and the Rapid Earthquake Data Integration Project (REDI) (Gee et al., 1996; 2003) developed in northern California are among the early examples. These systems allow earthquake parameters to be broadcast to users in a few minutes after an earthquake occurred. After the deployment of a dense broad-band seismic network in southern California, called TriNet (Mori et al., 1998; Hauksson et al., 2001), a more general notification system, ShakeMap (Wald et al., 1999a, b), was developed in which the observed ground-motion data are rapidly processed to produce a map showing the distribution of strong ground motions. ShakeMaps are generated automatically, following moderate and large earthquakes within several minutes of the earthquake origin time (Goltz, 2003).

In Japan, the Japan Meteorological Agency has long been engaged in routine seismological observations and the rapid earthquake information has been released to the public mainly through radio and television networks. Tokyo Gas Co. developed an extensive real-time system SIGNAL (Seismic Information Gathering Network Alert System) which was put into operation in 1994 and was eventually upgraded to an even higher density network. Also, after the 1995 Kobe earthquake, the City of Yokohama deployed a high-density strong-motion network for the purpose of understanding the site responses and rapid reporting of ground motion in the event of a large earthquake. These projects are described in detail in Kikuchi (2003). At the National Research Institute for Earth Sciences and Disaster Prevention (NIED), a system called Real-Time Operation System for Earthquakes (ROSE) was recently developed (Ishida and Ooi, 1998), which transmits earthquake parameters determined from the NIED's extensive seismic networks (e.g., Hi-net, F-net, KiK-net, and K-net) to various users.

In Taiwan, An ambitious program for deploying a thousand strong-motion instruments in Taiwan was proposed by Yi-Ben Tsai, Ta-liang Teng and others in 1989, and it was subsequently funded by the Taiwan government in 1991-1996. Real-time applications of this dense strong-motion network were formulated by Lee et al. (1996) and Shin et al. (1996). By 1999, more than 600 free-field strong-motion stations and over 50 strong-motion arrays (each with typically 30 accelerometers) in selected buildings and bridges were deployed by the Central Weather Bureau (Shin et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2003). For the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan, earthquake ( $M_w$ =7.6), this network produced not only reliable rapid information (Wu et al., 2000) but also a spectacular data set which was distributed rapidly to seismologists around the world (Lee et al., 2001a, b).

This data set has been used for extensive research and has contributed significantly to recent developments in seismology.

# Earthquake Early Warning System

The concept of earthquake early warning dates back at least to J. D. Cooper who proposed in November 1868, immediately after an M=7 earthquake on the Hayward fault, California, the idea of an earthquake early-warning system for San Francisco, California. (Nakamura and Tucker, 1988). Cooper proposed to set up seismic detectors near Hollister. When an earthquake triggered the detectors, an electric signal would be sent by telegraph to San Francisco. This signal would then ring a big bell in City Hall to warn citizens that an earthquake had occurred. Unfortunately, Cooper's scheme was never implemented. Heaton (1985) proposed a modern conceptual model for a computerized seismic alert network for southern California.

The best known example of an early warning system put into practical operation is the one developed by the Japanese Railway in the 1960's to slow down or stop trains before seismic shaking affected trains running at high speed (Nakamura, 1988, 1989; Nakamura and Tucker, 1988). Nakamura used a single station approach, where seismic signals are processed locally and an earthquake warning is issued when ground motion exceeds the trigger threshold. This system, called UrEDAS, has been widely used in the Japanese railway system.

During the aftershock sequence of the 1989 Loma Prieta, California, earthquake  $(M_w = 6.9)$ , Bakun et al. (1994) implemented an early warning system to protect construction workers cleaning up the collapsed freeways in Oakland, about 100 km from

the epicenter. When large aftershocks occurred, this system provided about 20 s of warning to the workers so they could evacuate from the potentially hazardous area. This is a good example in which a simple modification of an existing system can be used for practical early warning.

In Mexico, a Seismic Alert System (SAS) was developed in 1991 with the specific objective of issuing early warnings to the residents and authorities in Mexico City for large earthquakes in the Guerrero seismic gap, about 300 km southwest of Mexico City (Espinosa-Aranda et al., 1995). Espinosa-Aranda and Rodriguez (2003) describes the details of this system and its performance.

In Taiwan, earthquake early warning and rapid reporting were two key elements in their 1991-1996 strong-motion instrumentation program. A prototype early warning system was implemented in Hualien to explore the use of modern technology for early warning purposes (Chung et al., 1995; Lee et al.,1996), while rapid reporting was put into routine network operation (Shin et al., 1996; Teng et al., 1997; Wu et al., 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001). The Taiwan EWS established by CWB uses a real-time strong-motion accelerograph network consisting of 86 stations distributed around Taiwan. As shown in Figure 1, with the application of the concept of a virtual sub-network (VSN) to the CWB seismic network (Wu and Teng, 2002), the Taiwan EWS offers earthquake early warnings for metropolitan areas located more than 70 km from the epicenter. For an event with the same location as the September 20, 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake, the Taipei metropolitan area at 145 km from the epicenter would have more than 20 s of early warning time.



Figure 1. Expected VNS-based EWS early warning times (indicated by solid circles) in Taiwan with respect to the occurrence of an event similar to the Chi-Chi earthquake of September 20 1999. Triangles give the location of elementary schools, which can be regarded as the population density of Taiwan. The small circle (dashed) with a radius of 21 km indicates the boundary of the blind zone of the on-site warning method.

Recently, Allen and Kanamori (2003) demonstrated the feasibility of a short-term hazard warning using the extensive data set from TriNet in southern California. The proposed system, Elarms, could issue a warning a few to tens of seconds ahead of damaging ground motion.

In another recent development, the Japan Meteorological Agency implemented a prototype emergency earthquake alarm system, and in February 25, 2004, started an experimental use of the early warning information with universities and private organizations. The basic method is described in Odaka et al. (2003).

Other developments are included in recent national and international reports to the International Association of Seismology and Physics of the Earth's Interior (IASPEI) edited by Kisslinger (2003).

# PRESENT STATUS

As the technology of seismic instrumentation, telemetry, computers, and data storage facility has advanced, many modern high-density seismic networks have been constructed in many countries. Most of these networks have some rapid notification systems. It is fair to say that the real-time seismology in the sense of rapid postearthquake notification has been essentially established and put into practice. What remains to be done are broadening the spatial coverage, increasing the station density, developing robust telemetry, processing, and communication systems. It is expected that, with further advances in technology, the network performance can only improve and these networks will make solid contributions to earthquake damage mitigation, especially in modern large urban areas.

As the system improves, the information reaches the users more rapidly. Under certain circumstances, it reaches the user before ground shaking starts at the user's site and the information becomes an early warning. In principle, no difference exists between post-earthquake notification and earthquake early warning; however, for specific early warning purposes, it is more practical to distinguish early warning from post-earthquake notification.

Two approaches to earthquake early-warning are possible: (1) Regional warning, and (2) On-site (or Site-specific) warning. In (1), the traditional seismological method is used to locate an earthquake, determine the magnitude, and estimate the ground motion at other sites. In (2), the beginning of the ground motion (mainly P wave) observed at a site is used to predict the ensuing ground motion (mainly by S and surface waves) at the same site; no attempt is necessarily made to locate the event and estimate the magnitude. The

first approach is more reliable, but takes a longer time and cannot be used for the sites at short distances. In contrast, the second approach is less reliable, but very fast and could provide useful early warning to sites even at very short distances where an early warning is most needed. The first approach has already been used in Japan, Mexico, and Taiwan (Figure 1).

In the second approach, it is necessary to make rapid estimation of the nature of the progressing earthquake or the ground motions at an early stage of its rupture process. Beginning with the Nakamura's (1988) study, many methods have been developed to estimate the size of an earthquake from the beginning. Because such estimation requires some understanding of earthquake physics and rupture processes, we focus on this point in the following.

## SCIENTIFIC BASIS OF EARTHQUAKE EARLY WARNING

# Beginning of an Earthquake

A basic scientific question relevant to earthquake early-warning is whether we can estimate the eventual size or the characteristics of an earthquake from the very beginning of the rupture process. Interesting suggestions have been made by several investigators such as Iio (1992, 1995), Umeda (1990, 1992), Ellsworth and Beroza (1995), and Beroza and Ellsworth (1996). These studies suggest that large and small earthquakes may be distinguished from the very beginning of the rupture process. The initial low-amplitude phase, called the nucleation phase, tends to last longer for larger earthquakes. In contrast, Nakatani et al., (2000) suggests that microearthquakes which start with a stronger initial rupture tend to grow larger. This trend is somewhat opposite to that suggested in the nucleation phase models. Regardless of the observed trend, implicit in these models is some nucleation process with a characteristic length and time scales which control both the initial rupture pattern and the final size of an earthquake, at least in a statistical sense. However, other studies such as those by Mori and Kanamori (1996) and Kilb and Gomberg (1999) found no obvious difference in the initial rupture process of small and large earthquakes. Sato and Kanamori (1999) investigated the beginning of an earthquake using the Griffith's fracture criterion, and showed that the variation of fracture toughness near the fault tip can produce significant variations of the initial waveform of seismic rupture. Although this problem remains an interesting scientific question, the large variability in the beginning of earthquakes makes it difficult to use the very beginning of the rupture process for estimating the eventual size of an earthquake.

Another approach is to use the *P* wave to estimate the overall size of an earthquake. This approach may appear similar to the nucleation phase concept described above, but it is conceptually very different. Seismic fault motion generates both *P*- and *S*- waves, but *P*-wave amplitude is, on average, much smaller than *S*-wave amplitude. For a point double-couple source the ratio of the maximum *P*-wave amplitude to that of the *S* wave is approximately 0.2. Thus, the *P*-wave seldom causes damage, and the *S* wave is primarily responsible for earthquake shaking damage. However, the wave form of *P*-wave reflects how the slip on the fault plane is occurring. In other words, the *P*-wave carries information, and the *S*-wave carries energy. Thus, if we observe the beginning of the *P*-wave over time,  $\tau_0$ , after the onset we can have the information on the source at least during this time period. It is obvious that a longer  $\tau_0$  would provide more accurate information of the source. However, if  $\tau_0$  is too long, the early warning merit of the method is compromised. The question is how quickly, i.e., with how small a value of  $\tau_0$ , can we obtain the source information useful for early warning purposes.

In fact, this concept has long been used by Nakamura (1988) in the UrEDAS system for the Japanese railways. Since this point has a fundamental importance for understanding how the early warning concept works and also for eventually understanding the nucleation process of earthquakes, we discuss it in detail from a seismological point of view.

### Test With a Kinematic Model

To understand the basic principle, we consider the kinematic source model of Sato and Hirasawa (1973). This model employs a circular crack expanding from the center at a constant rupture speed V. The displacement profile on the crack surface when the radius reaches  $\xi$  is given by the static displacement for a crack with radius  $\xi$ . This model has been extensively used in seismology as a useful kinematic source model for earthquakes with the magnitude smaller than 6.5. For events larger than 6.5, the circular geometry becomes inadequate.

Figure 2 shows the moment-rate function computed for a range of magnitudes,  $M_w$  (moment magnitude), from 5 to 7. The shape of the moment-rate function is the same as that of the far-field displacement waveform. The important feature of this figure is that as  $M_w$  increases, the width of the moment-rate function increases. If we use  $\tau_0 = 3$  s, the effective period of the waveform increases with  $M_w$  up to  $M_w = 6.5$ . If we can define a measure of the effective period of the displacement record during the first 3 s, we can use it as an indicator of the size of the event.

Numerical Test on Synthetic Source Time Function



Figure 2. Displacement waveforms computed for the kinematic source model of Sato and Hirasawa (1973). The parameters used for computation of waveforms are: *P*-wave speed=6 km/s, S-wave speed=3.5 km/s, density=2.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, rupture speed=2.5 km/s, applied shear stress=30 bars, polar angle of the station location=90°.

As a measure of the period we use a parameter,  $\tau_c$ , which is similar to the one used by Nakamura (1988). This parameter is determined as follows. First we compute rby

$$r = \frac{\int_0^{\tau_0} \dot{u}^2(t) dt}{\int_0^{\tau_0} u^2(t) dt}$$
(1)

where u(t) is the ground-motion displacement and the integration is taken over the time interval  $(0, \tau_0)$  after the onset of the *P*-wave. Usually,  $\tau_0$  is set at 3 s. Using Parseval's theorem,

$$r = \frac{4\pi^2 \int_0^\infty f^2 \left| \hat{u}(f) \right|^2 df}{\int_0^\infty \left| \hat{u}(f) \right|^2 df} = 4\pi^2 \left\langle f^2 \right\rangle$$
(2)

where f is the frequency,  $\hat{u}(f)$  is the frequency spectrum of u(t), and  $\langle f^2 \rangle$  is the average of  $f^2$  weighted by  $|\hat{u}(f)|^2$ . Then,

$$\tau_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle f^2 \rangle}} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{r}}$$
(3)

can be used as a parameter which represents the "period" of the initial portion of the *P* wave. If the waveform is approximately monochromatic with period  $T_0$  and  $\tau_0 > T_0$ ,  $\tau_c$  is essentially the period of the monochromatic wave. However, if the waveform is complex, the period cannot be defined in a straightforward manner, but  $\tau_c$  can still represent the effective period defined by (2). This method is different from Nakamura's (1988) in that we compute  $\tau_c$  using the displacement u(t) over a fixed time window after the *P*-wave onset. Nakamura used the ground-motion velocity instead of displacement, and computed the integrals in (1) recursively, instead of over a fixed interval.

Figure 3 shows  $\tau_c$  computed for the moment-rate functions shown in Figure 2 using (1) and (3). As Figure 2 shows, the waveforms for the first 3 s after the onset are identical for events with  $M_w > 6.5$  and  $\tau_c$  saturates for  $M_w > 6.5$ .



Figure 3.  $\tau_c$  in sec computed for the displacement waveforms of the Sato and Hirasawa (1973) model shown in Figure 2. Note the saturation of  $\tau_c$  at  $M_w > 6.5$ .

The result for this kinematic source illustrates that we can estimate the magnitude using the information from the first 3 s of *P* wave, at least for events with  $M_w \le 6.5$ . If

we use a longer  $\tau_0$ , we can estimate  $M_w$  for even larger events, but the procedure takes longer time and is not practical for early warning purposes.

# Large Earthquake Data

Although the numerical experiment on synthetic waveforms demonstrates that the parameter  $\tau_c$  is a useful measure of the size of an earthquake, the rupture patterns of large earthquakes are far more complex than the circular crack model used above and it is not obvious whether this method works for real earthquakes. Figure 4a shows the moment-rate functions of recent large earthquakes. The moment-rate functions are very complex, reflecting the complex and chaotic nature of earthquake rupture process. The duration of moment-rate function is longer than 100 s for large events. At first glance it appears very difficult to estimate the overall size of an earthquake from the very beginning, e.g., the first 3 s from the onset. However, Figure 4b which shows the first 15 s of the moment-rate functions, suggests that we may get some information on the total size of an earthquake even from the first 3 s. For example, the moment-rate function for the 1994 Northridge, California earthquake ( $M_w = 6.7$ ) is similar to the synthetic moment-rate function for an earthquake with comparable magnitude, as shown in Figure 2. As we demonstrated with the kinematic source model, it is possible to tell whether the event has reached the size comparable to that of the Northridge earthquake, i.e., about  $M_w = 6.5$ . As Figure 4b shows, the moment-rate functions for events larger than the Northridge earthquake are still growing at 3 s, and would yield a larger  $\tau_c$  than that for the Northridge earthquake. Then, it is possible to tell from  $\tau_c$  that the event is probably

growing larger than  $M_w = 6.5$ . Beyond this point, it is not obvious how large the event is going to grow. Nevertheless, despite the complexity of the moment-rate functions shown in Figure 4a, it appears possible to estimate the lower bound of an earthquake from the first 3 s.



Figure 4b

Figure 4. a. Moment-rate functions of recent large earthquakes. The references are given in the caption for Figure 4b. b. The initial 15 s of the moment-rate functions of large earthquakes. Five more events are added to those shown in Figure 4a. References for the moment rate functions are: 1992 Nicaragua ( $M_w = 7.6$ ), Kanamori and Kikuchi (1993); 1994 Northridge ( $M_w = 6.7$ ), Thio and Kanamori (1996); 2001 India ( $M_w = 7.6$ ) and 2001

Peru ( $M_w = 8.4$ ), Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, EIC note in http://wwweic.eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp/EIC/EIC\_News/index.html; 2003 Tokachi-Oki, Japan, ( $M_w = 8.3$ ), Yamanaka and Kikuchi (2003); 1994 Alaska ( $M_w = 9.2$ ), Kikuchi and Fukao (1987) and Kikuchi and Ishida (1993); 2001 Kunlun, China, ( $M_w = 7.8$ ), Lin et al., (2003); 2002 Denali, Alaska, ( $M_w = 7.9$ ), Tsuboi et al. (2003) and C. Ji (written communication, 2003), 1999 Chi-Chi ( $M_w = 7.6$ ), Ji et al., (2003) and C. Ji (written communication, 2003); 1999 Hector Mine, California, ( $M_w = 7.1$ ), Ji et al., (2002) and C. Ji (written communication, 2003); 1998 Balleny Islands, Antarctica, ( $M_w = 8.1$ ), Henry et al., (2000) and Hjorleifsdottir (written communication, 2003); 1992 Landers, California, ( $M_w = 7.3$ ), Dreger (1994); 1999 Izmit, Turkey, ( $M_w = 7.6$ ), Li et al., (2002).

To test the method, we collected close-in records from earthquakes with magnitudes from M = 2.5 to 8.0 listed in Table 1. All the displacement records are filtered with a high-pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.075 Hz. Some examples are shown in Figure 5. The first 3 s from the onset of the *P*-wave is indicated by two vertical dash-dot lines. Even if the wave forms are more complicated than the synthetic wave forms shown in Figure 2, the wave forms of large events are distinct from those of small earthquakes, suggesting that even from the first 3 s we can make some estimation of the magnitude of the event.



Figure 5. The wave forms of the beginning of close-in records of earthquakes with magnitudes from 2.8 to 8. The amplitudes are in arbitrary scale. The first 3 s is indicated by two dash-dot lines.

We computed  $\tau_c$  with the method described above using the available close-in records for the events listed in Table 1. As shown in Figure 6, the results are consistent with the simulation results. Somewhat surprisingly,  $\tau_c$  keeps increasing even for earthquakes with  $M_w > 7$ , without any obvious sign of saturation. Since the data set is sparse for very large events (only 5 earthquakes with  $M_w \ge 7$ ), this result is not conclusive. Either the trend for  $M_w \ge 7$  is fortuitous, or the waveforms of larger earthquakes contain more long-period energy than the simple kinematic model suggests. More close-in data are obviously needed to resolve this problem, but the consistency of the trend shown in Figure 6 with that shown in Figure 3 suggests that  $\tau_c$  measured from only the first 3 s of *P*-wave can be used to estimate at least the lower bound of the magnitude. In short, if  $\tau_c < 1$  s, the event has already ended or is not likely to grow beyond  $M_w > 6$ . In contrast, if  $\tau_c > 1$  s, it is likely to grow beyond  $M_w = 6$ . If  $\tau_c > 3$  s, the event is probably larger than  $M_w = 7$ , but how large it will eventually become cannot be determined.



Figure 6.  $\tau_c$  computed for earthquakes with 2.5 < M < 8.0 in California, Japan and Taiwan using close-in seismograms. M represents  $M_w$  and  $M_L$  (local magnitude) for  $M \ge 6$  and M < 6, respectively. Details are given in Table 1.

### PRACTICAL PROCEDURE FOR ON-SITE EARLY WARNING

For on-site early warning, some ground-motion parameters need to be measured rapidly during a short time after the onset of an event to issue an appropriate warning, if deemed necessary. There are many potential parameters to be used, but for early warning purposes the ground-motion amplitude is the most obvious and important parameter.

In general, if *P*-wave amplitude is small, the event is either small or large, but at large distances, and no warning is warranted. In contrast, if *P*-wave is large at a site, the maximum ground-motion amplitude is likely to be large at the same location. However, a large *P*-wave does not necessarily warrant a warning, because the event can be a nearby small earthquake with short duration. This situation is illustrated in Figure 7a, which shows the relationship between the peak ground-motion acceleration (PGA, the largest of the 3 components) and the maximum ground-motion acceleration during the first 3-s of *P*- wave (PK3s) observed at the same station. Figure 7b shows a similar relationship between the peak ground-motion acceleration during the first 3-s of *P*- wave (PK3s) observed at the same station. Figure 7b shows a similar relationship between the peak ground-motion velocity (PGV, the largest of the 3 components) and PK3s. The 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake ( $M_w = 7.6$ ), the 1995 Kobe, Japan, earthquake ( $M_w = 6.9$ ), the 2000 Tottori, Japan earthquake ( $M_w = 6.6$ ) and the 2003 Tokachi-Oki earthquake ( $M_w = 8.0$ ) are all damaging earthquakes and PK3s, PGA and PGV are all very large. However, for a non-damaging small earthquake, the Hollywood, California earthquake (9/9/2001,  $M_L = 4.3$ ), the PGA and PGV are small despite the large PK3s.



Fugure 7. Left. The relationship between the peak ground-motion acceleration (PGA) and the maximum acceleration of the P wave recorded at the same location on the vertical component during the initial 3 s (PK3s). Right. A similar relationship between the peak ground-motion velocity (PGV) and PK3s.

If a large *P*-wave amplitude is observed, it is important to determine immediately whether the event is small or large. For this purpose, the parameter  $\tau_c$  described above can be used. If the amplitude and  $\tau_c$  are measured simultaneously at a site and, for example,  $\tau_c > 1$  s, the event is probably larger than  $M_w = 6$  and potentially damaging, and an early warning is warranted. In contrast, if  $\tau_c < 1$  s, the event is probably a nearby small event and no warning is warranted despite the large PK3s. Thus, a combination of  $\tau_c$  and PK3s (or other similar amplitude parameters), both of which can be determined from the first 3-s of *P*-wave, provides a useful on-site early warning, as schematically shown in Figure 8. A use of multiple sites would be desirable to increase the reliability. This approach can provide a very rapid warning that strong ground motions are imminent at the site.



Figure 8. A simple scheme for on-site early warning.

The method illustrated above is similar to that developed by Nakamura (1988) and Allen and Kanamori (2003) in which the period parameter  $\tau_c$  is used. Other methods with parameters other than  $\tau_c$  could also be used for rapid diagnosis of the damaging potential of an earthquake. For example, Grecksch and Kümpel (1997) investigated whether the initial portion of accelerograms from an earthquake reflects the size of the on-going earthquake using strong-motion accelerograms from 244 earthquakes which occurred in North and Central America. They found that the magnitude of an earthquake can be predicted from the first second of a single accelerogram within ±1.36 units. Tsuboi et al., (2002) developed a method to estimate the seismic moment, i.e., magnitude, from the initial portion of ground-motion displacement records. Leach and Dowla (1996) developed a method which uses neural networks to estimate various ground motion parameters from observed ground motion time series. This method analyzes the beginning of 3 component records of an earthquake and instantaneously provides a profile of impending ground motions. Odaka et al., (2003) developed a method to estimate the epicentral distance and magnitude from a single record using the shape of the envelope function of the initial portion of accelerograms.

Cua and Heaton (2003) (also G. Cua, written communication, 2004) developed a method, called the Virtual Seismologist (VS) method, which is a Bayesian approach to seismic early warning. Earthquake seismograms are usually very complex and judgments of experienced seismologists are often required for interpretation. The VS method emulates how a human seismologist might make inferences regarding magnitude and location given different types of information. The VS method uses ratios of acceleration to displacement of ground motion to obtain constraints on magnitude and envelope attenuation relationships for ground motion velocity to quantify the trade-offs between magnitude and location. It also incorporates background information on seismicity and

the magnitude-frequency relationship for the area being monitored. A Bayesian approach can be used to incorporate the background information for interpreting the limited available data from the very beginning. A Bayesian framework would also allow early warning subscribers to make optimal damage-mitigation decisions given the continuously evolving real-time estimates broadcast by the system.

Horiuchi et al. (2004) developed a method which uses P-wave arrival times from only a few stations to locate earthquakes within a few seconds. In this method, the information that some stations have not detected P wave at the time when an event is detected by other stations is explicitly used. The method has been tested with the NIED's Hi-net data for about 500 events.

Since the nucleation and growth of an earthquake are complex, the resulting waveforms are diverse. Some methods may work better than others for identifying certain types of damaging earthquakes, but it may not necessarily work for other types. In other words, no single method is expected to work well for every earthquake. For actual implementation of an early warning system, it is desirable to combine as many different methods as possible to make the overall system as robust as possible.

### Hybrid Use of Regional and On-site Warning Methods

As discussed earlier, the regional warning method using a network of stations is more reliable and can provide more detailed information about the impending ground motion such as the waveform, spectral content, duration, etc. However, it usually takes time to process the data and has a fairly large "blind zone", the area where the warning cannot be issued in time (e.g., Figure 1). In contrast, the on-site method provides a more

rapid warning, thereby reducing the radius of the blind zone, but the information coming from on-site warning is limited to relatively simple parameters. A hybrid use of a regional and on-site warning will enhance the usefulness and reliability of an early warning system. Recently Wu and Kanamori (2004) experimented the  $\tau_c$  method for onsite warning using the accelerograph network in Taiwan for which a regional warning system has been already implemented. As shown in Figure 1, the use of an on-site method reduces the radius of the blind zone of the Taiwan Earthquake Early Warning System (EWS, Wu and Teng, 2002) from 70 to 21 km.

## USE OF EARTHQUAKE EARLY WARNING

As discussed above, the technology of earthquake early warning is developing rapidly. The question is how such early warning information can be used for effective damage mitigation. Several reports have been published (Holden, et al., 1989; Shoaf and Bouque, 2001) on the implications of earthquake early warning system. Goltz (2003) reports the results of several studies, conducted under the TriNet project, on user needs, warning communication, and public policy issues associated with earthquake early warning. However, with very limited experience with such a short-term warning, it is difficult to address this question fully at present.

The potential uses of a few to tens of seconds warning can be discussed both at personal and institutional levels. Personal protective measures that can be undertaken at home and in the workplace include getting under desks and moving away from dangerous chemicals and machinery. During the response to a major earthquake, early warning information can be used to protect clean-up personnel as they work on unstable debris, as was effectively demonstrated by Bakun et al. (1994) after the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. Institutional uses of short-term warnings include automated masstransportation systems that can use a few seconds to slow and stop trains, abort airplane landings, and prevent additional cars from entering the freeway. UrEDAS is a good example applied to the Japanese high-speed train system (Nakamura, 1988). Industries can shut down, or initiate the shut down process, of sensitive equipment before peak ground motion arrives, preventing cascading failures. In addition to these immediate uses, the development of an early warning system will lead to the development of infrastructure that can utilize the information. For example, construction companies in

Japan are developing buildings with semi-active control systems. The buildings can change their mechanical properties within a few seconds to better withstand ground motion (Kobori, 2002; Housner et al., 1997). Of course, in actual implementation the legal implications of false alarms and missed events need to be carefully considered. Also, introduction of an early warning system would require multi-disciplinary and multiagency cooperation among organizations involved.

Despite these potential difficulties, the technology is improving rapidly with new methods which can be tested within the existing seismic networks. As more new systems are implemented and tested real-time, we will discover novel usage of reliable earthquake early warning information which will significantly contribute to effective earthquake damage mitigation.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUSION

The early warning systems developed or implemented so far provide only warnings regarding the severity of impending strong motion. No information regarding the characteristics of the ground motion, either spectrum or time series, is given. For sophisticated applications, e.g., predictive active structural control, it is obviously desirable for an early warning system to provide more detailed information such as the event mechanism, ground motion spectrum, and time series. Scrivner and Helmberger (1995) explored the possibility of determining the event mechanism using the waveforms from only the stations close to the source of the 1991 Sierra Madre, California earthquake. They demonstrated that, even with a relatively limited amount of information from the beginning of the waveforms, the mechanism and seismic moment can be estimated fairly accurately. As the data from more distant stations become available, the solution can be updated progressively.

With the recent significant progress in computational methods for wave propagation in 3-dimensional media, it is now possible to compute realistic waveforms in 3-D media at periods as short as 3 s. Komatitisch et al. (2004) demonstrated that the observed waveforms from regional earthquakes in the Los Angeles basin can be numerically simulated, despite the very complex structures associated with several basins in the area. This success suggests that if all the displacement Green's functions are computed and stored, then once a large event is detected it may be possible to estimate ground motions progressively as the event develops, using the method similar to the one discussed by Scrivner and Helmberger (1995). Further development and testing are

necessary to demonstrate the utility of this approach, but the rapid advancement of computational methods suggests that this approach is indeed feasible.

At present, the technology of earthquake early warning is still in progress, but the best way to assess the robustness and utility of new methods is to implement them on an existing system for real-time testing. Large earthquakes are relatively rare and it is important to gain experience with more frequent, smaller earthquakes.

# Acknowledgments

I thank Willie Lee and Yih-Min Wu for reading the draft manuscript and providing me with helpful information and comments. The seismograms used in this study are provided by the Central Weather Bureau of Taiwan (Taiwan strong-motion records), the National Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention, Japan (K-net and KiK-net), Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (Ocean-bottom accelerograph), the California Institute of Technology (TriNet), and the Southern California Earthquake Center, Data Center (TriNet).

### REFERENCES

- Allen RM, Kanamori H. 2003. The potential for earthquake early warning in southern California. *Science* 300: 786-9
- Bakun WH, Fischer FG, Jensen EG, VanSchaack J. 1994. Early warning system for aftershocks. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 84: 359-65

Beroza GC, Ellsworth WL. 1996. Properties of the seismic nucleation phase. *Tectonophysics* 261: 209-27

Chung JK, Lee WHK, Shin TC. 1995. *A prototype earthquake warning system in Taiwan: Operation and results (abstract)*. Presented at IUGG General Assembly, 21<sup>st</sup>, Boulder

- Cua G, Heaton TH. 2003. An envelope-based paradigm for seismic early warning, abstract. *2003 Fall AGU meeting* S42B-0164
- Dreger DS. 1994. Investigation of the rupture process of the 28 June 1992 Landers earthquake utilizing TERRAscope. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 84: 713-24
- Ellsworth WL, Beroza GC. 1995. Seismic evidence for an earthquake nucleation phase *Science* 268: 851-5
- Espinosa-Aranda JM, Jimenez A, Ibarrola G, Alcantar F, Aguilar A, et al. 1995. Mexico City Seismic Alert System. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 66: 42-53

Espinosa-Aranda JM, Rodriguez FH. 2003. The seismic alert system of Mexico city. In International Handbook of Earthquake & Engineering Seismology, ed. WHK Lee, H Kanamori, PC Jennings, C Kisslinger, pp. 1253-9. San Diego: Academic Press

Gee L, Neuhauser D, Dreger D, Uhrhammer R, Romanowicz B. 2003. The rapid earthquake integration project. In *International Handbook of Earthquake &*  *Engineering Seismology*, ed. WHK Lee, H Kanamori, PC Jennings, C Kisslinger, pp. 1261-73. San Diego: Academic Press

- Gee LS, Neuhauser DS, Dreger DS, Pasyanos ME, Uhrhammer RA, Romanowicz B.
  1996. Real-time seismology at UC Berkeley: The rapid earthquake data integration
  project. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 86: 936-45
- Goltz JD. 2003. Applications for new real-time seismic information: The TriNet project in southern California. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 74: 516-21
- Grecksch G, Kümpel H-J. 1997. Statistical analysis of strong-motion accelerograms and its application to earthquake early-warning systems. *Geophys. J. Int.* 129: 113-23
- Hauksson E, Small P, Hafner K, Busby R, Clayton R, et al. 2001. The southern California seismic network: The Caltech/USGS element of TriNet, 1997-2001. Seismological Research Lett. 72: 690-704
- Heaton TH. 1985. A model for a seismic computerized alert network. *Science* 228: 987-90
- Henry C, Das S, Woodhouse JH. 2000. The great March 25, 1998, Antarctic plate earthquake: Moment tensor and rupture history. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth* 105: 16097-118
- Holden R, Lee R, Reichle M. 1989. *Technical and Economic Feasibility of an Earthquake Warning System in California*, California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Sacramento
- Horiuchi S, Negishi H, Abe K, Kamimura A, Fujinawa Y. 2004. An automatic processing system for broadcasting earthquake alarms. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* submitted

- Housner GW, Bergman LA, Caughey TK, Chassiakos AG, Claus RO, et al. 1997. Structural control: Past, present, and future. *J. Engineering Mechanics* 123: 897-971
- Iio Y. 1992. Slow initial phase of the P-wave velocity pulse generated by microearthquakes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 19: 477-80
- Iio Y. 1995. Observations of the slow initial phase generated by microearthquakes:Implications for earthquake nucleation and propagation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 100: 15333-49
- Ishida M, Ooi M. 2002. *Real-time Operation System for Earthquakes (in Japanese)*. Presented at Symposium of the Information Technology and Earthquake Disaster Prevention, Kanazawa
- Ji C, Helmberger DV, Wald DJ, Ma KF. 2003. Slip history and dynamic implications of the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan, earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth* 108: art. no.-2412
- Ji C, Wald DJ, Helmberger DV. 2002. Source description of the 1999 Hector Mine,California, earthquake, part I: Wavelet domain inversion theory and resolution analysis.*Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 92: 1192-207
- Kanamori H, Hauksson E, Heaton T. 1997. Real-time seismology and earthquake hazard mitigation. *Nature* 390: 461-4
- Kanamori H, Hauksson E, Heaton TH. 1991. TERRAscope and CUBE project at Caltech. EOS 72: 564
- Kanamori H, Kikuchi M. 1993. The 1992 Nicaragua earthquake a slow tsunami earthquake associated with subducted sediments. *Nature* 361: 714-6

Kikuchi M. 2003. *Real-time Seismology (in Japanese)*. Tokyo: University of Tokyo. 222 pp.

- Kikuchi M, Fukao Y. 1987. Inversion of long-period P-waves from great earthquakes along subduction zones. *Tectonophysics* 144: 231-47
- Kikuchi M, Ishida M. 1993. Source Retrieval for Deep Local Earthquakes with Broad-Band Records. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 83: 1855-70
- Kilb D, Gomberg J. 1999. The initial subevent of the 1994 Northridge, California, earthquake: Is earthquake size predictable? *J. Seismol.* 3: 409-20
- Kisslinger C. 2003. Centennial National and Institutional Reports: Seismology and Physics of the Earth's Interior
  - 79.1 General Introduction. In *International Handbook of Earthquake & Engineering Seismology*, ed. WHK Lee, H Kanamori, PC Jennings, C Kisslinger, pp. 1289-90. San Diego: Academic Press
- Kobori T. 2002. *Past, present and future in seismic response control of civil engineering structures.* Presented at 3rd world Conference on Structural Control
- Komatitsch D, Liu QY, Tromp J, Suss P, Stidham C, Shaw JH. 2004. Simulations of ground motion in the Los Angeles basin based upon the spectral-element method. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 94: 187-206
- Leach RR, Dowla FU. 1996. *Earthquake early warning system using real-time signal processing*, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore
- Lee WHK, Espinosa-Aranda JM. 2002. Earthquake early-warning systems: Current status and perspectives. In *Early Warning Systems for Natural Disaster Reduction*, ed. J Zschau, AN Kuppers, pp. 409-23. Berlin: Springer

- Lee WHK, Shin TC, Kuo KW, Chen KC, Wu CF. 2001. CWB free-field strong-motion data from the 21 September Chi- Chi, Taiwan, earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 91: 1370-6
- Lee WHK, Shin TC, Kuo KW, Chen KC, Wu CF. 2001. Data files from "CWB free-field strong-motion data from the 21 September Chi-Chi, Taiwan, earthquake". *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 91: 1390-
- Lee WHK, Shin TC, Teng TL. 1996. *Design and implementation of earthquake early warning systems in Taiwan*. Presented at 11th World Conf. Earthq. Eng., Acapulco
- Lee WHK, Stewart SW. 1981. Principles and Applications of Microearthquake Networks. New York: Academic Press. 293 pp.
- Li X, Cormier VF, Toksoz MN. 2002. Complex source process of the 17 August 1999 Izmit, Turkey, earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 92: 267-77
- Lin AM, Kikuchi M, Fu BH. 2003. Rupture segmentation and process of the 2001 Mw 7.8 Central Kunlun, China, earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 93: 2477-92
- Mori J, Kanamori H. 1996. Rupture initiations of microearthquakes in the 1995 Ridgecrest, California, sequence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23: 2437-40
- Mori J, Kanamori H, Davis J, Hauksson E, Clayton R, et al. 1998. Major improvements in progress for southern California earthquake monitoring. *EOS* 79: 217-21
- Nakamura Y. 1988. *On the urgent earthquake detection and alarm system (UrEDAS)*. Presented at Ninth World Conf. Earthq. Eng., Tokyo
- Nakamura Y. 1989. Earthquake alarm system for Japan Railways. Rep. 109, 1-7.

- Nakamura Y, Tucker BE. 1988. Japan's earthquake warning system: Should it be imported to California? *Calif. Geology* 41(2): 33-40
- Nakatani M, Kaneshima S, Fukao Y. 2000. Size-dependent microearthquake initiation inferred from high-gain and low-noise observations at Nikko district, Japan. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth* 105: 28095-109
- Odaka Y, Ashiya K, Tsukada S, Sato S, Ohtake K, Nozaka D. 2003. A new method of quickly estimating epicentral distance and magnitude from a single seismic record. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 93: 526-32
- Sato T, Hirasawa T. 1973. Body wave spectra from propaging shear cracks. *J. Phys. Earth* 21: 415-31
- Sato T, Kanamori H. 1999. Beginning of earthquakes modeled with the Griffith's fracture criterion. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 89: 80-93
- Scrivner CW, Helmberger DV. 1995. Preliminary work on an early warning and rapid response program for moderate earthquakes. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 85: 1257-65
- Shin TC, Tsai YB, Wu YM. 1996. *Rapid response of large earthquakes in Taiwan using a realtime telemetered network of digital accelerographs (paper No. 2137)*. Presented at 11th World Conf. Earthquake Eng., Acapulco
- Shin TC, Kuo KW, Lee WHK, Teng TL, Tsai YB. 2000. A preliminary report on the 1999 Chi-Chi (Taiwan) earthquake. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 71: 24-30
- Shin TC, Tsai YB, Yeh YT, Liu CC, Wu YM. 2003. Strong-motion instrumentation program in Taiwan. In *International Handbook of Earthquake & Engineering*

Seismology, ed. WHK Lee, H Kanamori, PC Jennings, C Kisslinger, pp. 1057-62. San Diego: Academic Press

- Shoaf K, Bourque LB. 2001. *Survey of Potential Early Warning System Users*, Center for Public Health and Disasters, Univ. Calif. Los Angeles
- Stewart SW, Lee WHK, Eaton JP. 1971. Location and real-time detection of microearthquakes along the San Andreas fault system in central California. *Bull. Roy. Soc. New Zealand* 9: 205-9
- Teng TL, Wu L, Shin TC, Tsai YB, Lee WHK. 1997. One minute after: strong motion map, effective epicenter, and effective magnitude. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 87: 1209-19
- Thio HK, Kanamori H. 1996. Source complexity of the 1994 Northridge earthquake and its relation to aftershock mechanisms. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 86: S84-S92
- Tsuboi S, Komatitsch D, Ji C, Tromp J. 2003. Broadband modeling of the 2002 Denali fault earthquake on the Earth Simulator. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 139: 305-12
- Tsuboi S, Saito M, Kikuchi M. 2002. Real-time earthquake warning by using broadband *P* waveform. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 29: 2187, doi:10. 1029/2002GL016101
- Umeda Y. 1990. High-amplitude seismic waves radiated from the bright spot of an earthquake. *Tectonophysics* 141: 335-43
- Umeda Y. 1992. The bright spot of an earthquake. *Tectonophysics* 211: 13-22
- Wald DJ, Quitoriano V, Heaton TH, Kanamori H. 1999. Relationships between peak ground acceleration, peak ground velocity, and modified Mercalli intensity in California. *Earthquake Spectra* 15: 557-64

- Wald DJ, Quitoriano V, Heaton TH, Kanamori H, Scrivner CW, Worden CB. 1999.TriNet "ShakeMaps": Rapid generation of peak ground motion and intensity maps for earthquakes in southern California. *Earthquake Spectra* 15: 537-55
- Wu YM, Chung JK, Shin TC, Hsiao NC, Tsai YB, et al. 1999. Development of an integrated earthquake early warning system in Taiwan - Case for the Hualien area earthquakes. *Terrestrial Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences* 10: 719-36
- Wu YM, Kanamori H. 2004. Experiment on an onsite early warning method for the Taiwan early warning system. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 94: submitted
- Wu YM, Lee WHK, Chen CC, Shin TC, Teng TL, Tsai YB. 2000. Performance of the Taiwan Rapid Earthquake Information Release System (RTD) during the 1999 Chi-Chi (Taiwan) earthquake. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 71: 338-43
- Wu YM, Shin TC, Chang CH. 2001. Near real-time mapping of peak ground acceleration and peak ground velocity following a strong earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 91: 1218-28
- Wu YM, Shin TC, Chen CC, Tsai YB, Lee WHK, Teng TL. 1997. Taiwan rapid earthquake information release system. *Seism. Res. Letters* 68: 931-43
- Wu YM, Shin TC, Tsai YB. 1998. Quick and reliable determination of magnitude for seismic early warning. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 88: 1254-9
- Wu YM, Teng TL. 2002. A virtual subnetwork approach to earthquake early warning.

Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 92: 2008-18

Yamanaka Y, Kikuchi M. 2003. Source process of the recurrent Tokachi-oki earthquake on September 26, 2003, inferred from teleseismic body waves. *Earth Planets and Space* 55: E21-E4

Determination of $\tau_c$ from close-in records				
Event	М	$ au_c$ , s	Ν	$\Delta_{\rm max}$ , km
Taokachi-Oki, 9/26/2003	8.0	$4.96 \pm 1.48$	7	85
Chi-Chi, 9/21/1999	7.6	$3.74 \pm 1.31$	8	19
Landers, 6/28/1992	7.3	$1.83\pm0.49$	4	160
Hector-Mine, 10/16/1999	7.1	$1.41\pm0.59$	5	89
Miyagi-Oki, 5/26/2003	7.0	$2.15\pm0.77$	8	29
Tottori, 10/6/2000	6.7	$1.45\pm0.44$	8	53
Northridge, 1/17/1994	6.7	1.56	2	35
San Simeon, 12/22/2003	6.5	$1.30\pm0.76$	4	122
North Miyagi, 7/26/2003	6.0	$1.51\pm0.94$	8	27
Sierra Madre, 6/28/1991	5.8	1.7	1	22
Anza, 10/31/2001	5.1	$0.57\pm0.21$	8	48
Big Bear, 2/22/2003	5.1	$0.59\pm0.37$	4	37
Big Bear, 2/10/2001	5.1	$0.58\pm0.21$	6	47
Pasadena, 12/3/1988	4.8	0.33	1	10
Coso, 7/17/2001	4.8	$1.12\pm0.38$	7	95
Northridge, 1/14/2001	4.3	$0.34\pm0.05$	4	16
N. Hollywood, 9/9/2001	4.3	$0.58\pm0.18$	8	24
2003 Lucern, 7/15/2003	4.2	$0.26\pm0.07$	8	70
Northridge, 1/14/2001	4.0	$0.29\pm0.11$	4	15
Compton, 10/28/2001	4.0	$0.40\pm0.10$	8	18
San Marino, 9/27/2001	2.8	$0.24\pm0.10$	7	23
Running Springs, 5/9/2003	2.6	$0.12\pm0.02$	3	31
San Marino, 5/22/2003	2.5	$0.22\pm0.07$	8	23

TABLE 1. Determination of  $\tau_c$  from close-in records. N is the number of records used for the measurements of  $\tau_c$ .  $\Delta_{max}$  is the distance to the farthest station.